MITRE

O2NY053

9 August 1984 W52-531

Mr. George Pavlou U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region II Federal Building 26 Federal Plaza New York, NY 10278

Dear Mr. Pavlou:

With this letter and in mailings to follow, I am forwarding documentation packages for Region II sites which were reviewed during the recent quality assurance (QA) audit for the National Priorities List (NPL), second update, and which received a score above 28.50. These sites are listed in Attachment I of this letter.

The QA audit is actively in progress for six additional Region II sites:

Jame Fine Chemical
Naval Weapons Station - Site B
Byron Barrel and Drum
Richard Clothier Site
Van Der Horst Corp. of America
Warrensburg Board and Paper Co.

The Region will be notified of the disposition of these sites as soon as the QA audit is completed. In all cases, the assignment of a QA score is awaiting receipt of further documentation from the Region or from the states.

The remaining sites either scored below 28.50 during the QA process or were not assigned a QA score. The five sites scoring below 28.50 are:

Henry Harris Landfill Ontario Knife Company Peter Cooper (Gowanda Plant) Old Erie Canal Site Village of Armonk Wells

The MITRE Corporation

Metrek Division

1820 Dolley Madison Boulevard, McLean, Virginia 22102-3481

Telephone (703) 883-6000/Telex 248923

 A number of sites did not receive a QA score either for lack of sufficient documentation (21 sites) or because they were not formally resubmitted by Region II for NPL update 2 (28 sites).

Attachment II is a listing of all Region II sites which were considered for NPL update 2, received at least a preliminary review by the QA team, and as of this date, are not eligible for proposed NPL listing.

Note that we have included a Regional file copy and 3 additional copies of the documentation package for each site scoring above 28.50. New documentation that was generated by the states or by the Region during the QA process has been clipped to the front of the Region copy for inclusion in your file.

Please review the documentation packages and if the Region is in agreement with the QA scores, submit 3 copies of each package to:

Ms. Denise Sines U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Room S325 401 M Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20460

If you have any questions about the site documentation and QA scores, please contact me at (703) 883-6036 or Ms. Sue Russell at (703) 883-7676 as soon as possible so that we may resolve any discrepancies.

Sincerely,
Sue Russell
box

Kris W. Barrett Group Leader

Group reader

Engineering and Safety Systems

LSR: KWB: kes

Enclosures

ATTACHMENT I

Region II Sites With Scores Above 28.50

Cinnaminson Ground Water Contamination Fried Industries Glen Ridge Radium Lodi Municipal Well Montclair/West Orange Radium Naval Weapons Stations - Site A Pomona Oaks Well Contamination Waldick Aerospace Devices, Inc. Anchor Chemicals Applied Environmental Services BEC Trucking Claremont Polychemical Colesville Landfill Cortese Landfill Endicott Village Well Site FMC - Dublin Road Landfill Goldisc Recordings Griffiss Air Force Base Haviland Complex Hertel Landfill Hooker Chemical & Plastics/Ruco Johnstown Landfill Katonah Well Kenmark Textiles Corp. Liberty Industrial Finishing Nepera Chemical Company North Sea Landfill Pasley Solvents Preferred Plating Corp. Robintech S.M.S. Instruments, Inc. Sarney Property Suffern Village Well Field Tronic Plating Co., Inc. Volney Landfill

ATTACHMENT II

REGION II Sites With Scores Below 28.50 or without QA Score As of August 1, 1984

BLUE SPRUCE INTERNATIONAL, INC. BOUND BROOK, NEW JERSEY

Air pathway observed release was disallowed because sampling was done in building doorway. Score without air pathway would range between 0 and 23.70. Since the site scores below the cutoff, the factors for ground water and surface water were not given a quality assurance review, therefore a final score was not calculated.

HENRY HARRIS LANDFILL
GLOUCESTER COUNTY, NEW JERSEY

Insufficient documentation to score toxicity/persistence, hazardous waste quantity. Depth to aquifer revised and ground water population recalculated. Ground water and surface water pathways score 0. This site received a QA score below the cutoff.

HIGH POINT SANITARY LANDFILL FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY

Insufficient documentation to tie contamination to the landfill. There is no record of hazardous waste disposal and the monitoring wells are located offsite. A leachate sample is needed or rationale for ruling out any other source of contamination. Insufficient description of aquifer(s) underlying the site and rationale for counting the entire population served by ground water. No QA score was assigned.

JAME FINE CHEMICAL BOUND BROOK, NEW JERSEY

QA is in process. A memorandum documenting surface water use is being prepared by the State of New Jersey.

NAVAL WEAPONS STATION - SITE B CHAPEL HILL, NEW JERSEY

QA is in progress.

RESEARCH ORGANIC/INORGANIC BELLEVILLE, NEW YORK

The air observed release was discounted due to lack of sampling data of ambient air outside of the work facility. Insufficient documentation to assign a QA score.

ALLIED CHEMICAL/SEMET-SOLVAY SOLVAY, NEW YORK

Site submitted for preliminary review and was not resubmitted for update 2.

BEDFORD VILLAGE WELLS.
BEDFORD, NEW YORK

Submitted for preliminary review with a score below the cutoff and was not resubmitted for update 2.

BLAUVELT WELL FIELD ROCKLAND COUNTY, NEW YORK

Site was submitted with a score below the cutoff. A QA score was not assigned due to insufficient data.

BLYDENBURGH LANDFILL HAUPPAUGE, NEW YORK

Site submitted for preliminary review with a score below the cutoff and was not resubmitted for update 2.

BYRON BARREL AND DRUMBYRON, NEW YORK

QA is in progress. This site was originally scored for PCBs. The presence of PCBs has not been confirmed.

CARROLL AND DUBIES
PORT JERVIS, NEW YORK

This site did not receive a complete QA review because of insufficient documentation of the ground water pathway. Documentation is lacking for general description of aquifer of concern, ground water use, and population served by ground water in the aquifer of concern. Hazardous waste quantity, initially scored with a value of 8, is unknown and scores 1.

CLARKSTOWN LANDFILL WEST NYACK, NEW YORK

Site submitted for preliminary review and was not resubmitted for update 2.

RICHARD CLOTHIER SITE GRANBY, NEW YORK

QA is in progress. Recently submitted information on hazardous waste quantity and aquifer characteristics suggests that this site will score above 28.50.

*CROSS COUNTRY SANITATION (Kessman)
PATTERSON, NEW YORK

Site submitted for preliminary review with a score below the cutoff and was not resubmitted for update 2.

DUTCHESS COUNTY AIRPORT LANDFILL, POUGHKEEPSIE, NEW YORK

Submitted for preliminary review with a score below the cutoff and was not resubmitted for update 2.

EDGEMERE LANDFILL QUEENS, NEW YORK

Site submitted for preliminary review and was not resubmitted for update 2.

EDWARD ALLEN LANDFILL CORNING, NEW YORK

Site was originally scored using ground water characteristics and an observed release to surface water. Site was resubmitted with an observed release to both ground water and surface water. The sampling data do not support an observed release to either ground water or surface water. There is also inadequate documentation for depth to aquifer, permeability, population served by ground water, connection of the two aquifers, facility slope, and surface water use. No QA score can be determined.

FOUNTAIN AVENUE LANDFILL BROOKLYN, NEW YORK

Site submitted for preliminary review and was not resubmitted for update 2.

GENERAL ELECTRIC CAPACITOR PRODUCTS FT. EDWARD, NEW YORK

Site originally scored with an observed air release. The available data do not demonstrate an air release from this plant. The data indicate that the Hudson Falls GE plant could also be the source of the air release. Regional personnel indicated that additional wind data do not support a release attributable to the Fort Edward Plant. Without the air route, the site scores below 28.50. As a result, no additional information was provided to address other concerns raised as part of the QA review. Thus, a score cannot be determined.

GENERAL INSTRUMENTS CORPORATION HICKSVILLE, NEW YORK

Site was submitted for preliminary review and was not resubmitted for update 2.

GRATWICK RIVERSIDE PARK NORTH TONAWANDA, NEW YORK

> Site was submitted for preliminary review with a score below the cutoff and was not resubmitted for update 2.

HOLIDAY PARK NORTH TONAWANDA, NEW YORK

> Site was submitted for preliminary review with a score below the cutoff and was not resubmitted for update 2.

HYDE PARK LANDFILL HYDE PARK, NEW YORK

No QA score was assigned to this site because of insufficient or inconsistent data. Sampling results and locations are not clearly presented. Results reported as mg/l should be ug/l; positive values are just at the detection limit. The site itself is not well defined. Unclear references to landfills number 1, 2, and 3, which are not depicted on any site map.

JONES SANITATION HYDE PARK, NEW YORK

Site was submitted for preliminary review and was not resubmitted for update 2.

KEYTRONICS ENDICOTT, NEW YORK

Site was submitted for preliminary review with a score below the cutoff and was not resubmitted for update 2.

LYNDONVILLE DUMP YATES TOWNSHIP, NEW YORK

> Site was submitted for preliminary review with a score below the cutoff and was not resubmitted for update 2.

MAHOPAC BUSINESS DISTRICT CARMEL, NEW YORK

Site was originally scored for toxicity based on chloroform. There is not sufficient documentation to support the presence of chloroform. Without chloroform, the site scores below 28.50. As a result, additional information was not provided to address other concerns raised as part of the QA review. Thus, a score cannot be determined.

MATTIACE PETROCHEMICAL
GLENWOOD LANDING, NEW YORK

Site was submitted for preliminary review and was not resubmitted for update 2.

MR. HAROLD CURRAN SITE DAVENPORT, NEW YORK

Site was submitted for preliminary review with a score below the cutoff and was not resubmitted for update 2.

NEW WINDSOR LANDFILL NEW WINDSOR, NEW YORK

All ground water in the area is contaminated with pesticides which are not attributable to this site. Surface water contaminant levels at times are higher downstream than at the site. Erosion into stream from Stewart Air Force Base makes it impossible to establish a connection to New Windsor Landfill. No QA score was assigned.

NIAGARA MOHAWK CHERRY FARM TONAWANDA, NEW YORK

No targets were identified for the ground water pathway. Insufficient documentation was available to support the surface water route score and no observed release to air was reported. Because of insufficient data, no QA score was assigned.

NORTHEAST LANDFILL TOWN OF NORTHEAST. NEW YORK

Site was submitted for preliminary review with a score below the cutoff and was not resubmitted for update 2.

NORTON INDUSTRIAL WASTES LANDFILL TOWN OF GRANVILLE, NEW YORK

Insufficient documentation to complete QA. Initial review showed that population served by ground water was across the Mettawee River from the waste site. Information was not provided regarding which aquifer the population served (Village of Granville) is draining from; and if the Mettawee River serves as a ground water divide.

NOVAK FARM MCDONOUGH, NEW YORK

> Site was submitted for preliminary review with a score below the cutoff and was not resubmitted for update 2.

NOW CORPORATION CLINTON, NEW YORK

Site was submitted for preliminary review with a score below the cutoff and was not resubmitted for update 2.

OLD ERIE CANAL SITE FRANKFORT, NEW YORK

Inadequate documentation for aquifer depth, permeability, quantity, population served, surface water observed release, surface water use or distance to sensitive environment. This site received a score below the cutoff.

ONTARIO KNIFE COMPANY FRANKLINVILLE, NEW YORK

References were not provided for many of the pathway factors. No sampling was conducted to confirm the presence of contaminants on site. This site received a score below the cutoff.

PETER COOPER (GOWANDA PLANT)
GOWANDA, NEW YORK

This site received a QA score below the cutoff. As a result of the QA audit, factor values were revised for depth to aquifer of concern, permeability, and distance to nearest well/population served. Ground water observed release disallowed. Insufficient documentation of surface water observed release.

PETER COOPER (MARKHAM'S SITE)
MARKHAMS, NEW YORK

Inadequate documentation for depth to aquifer. Referenced report refutes assumption in the HRS documentation record and states there is no hydraulic connection to the aquifer serving drinking wells. Surface water sampling locations were not defined and no documentation was provided for surface water use. No QA score was assigned.

PFOHL BROTHERS LANDFILL CHEEKTOWAGA, NEW YORK

QA was not completed since existing documentation indicated this site would receive a score below the cutoff. Initial QA requested documentation of population served by ground water. NY State response indicated that currently no population is served by ground water within 3 miles of the site since municipal water lines had been extended to people in area. The lack of population resulted in a ground water route score of 0. Regional EPA personnel chose not to document surface water score since the site would not score above the cutoff with only this pathway.

PINE VIEW
WEST NYACK, NEW YORK

This site was submitted for preliminary review and was not resubmitted for update 2.

PRIDE SOLVENTS
WEST BABYLON

Inadequate sampling data provided to establish connection between Pride and storm drain contamination. No QA score was assigned.

QUANTA RESOURCES CORPORATION SYRACUSE, NEW YORK

Site submitted for preliminary review with a score below the cutoff and was not resubmitted for update 2.

ROTRON, INC. SAUGERTIES, NEW YORK

Site submitted for preliminary review with a score below the cutoff and was not resubmitted for update 2.

SCHATZ FEDERAL BEARING LANDFILL POUGHKEEPSIE. NEW YORK

Some additional documentation has been provided in response to the QA review. Based on the information currently in the documentation record, the site will score below 28.50. Additional information is needed before a QA score can be determined. The documentation record implies that there might be ground water contamination. New York State is currently trying to find the data. The site will be re-reviewed if the data are found and submitted in a timely fashion, along with the other necessary documentation.

SCHENECTADY CHEMICALS, INC. ROTTERDAM JUNCTION, NEW YORK

Site was submitted for preliminary review and was not resubmitted for update 2.

SIMKINS INDUSTRIES WEST HEMPSTEAD, NEW YORK

Site was submitted for preliminary review and was not resubmitted for update 2.

SONIA ROAD LANDFILL ISLIP, NEW YORK

Site was submitted for preliminary review and was not resubmitted for update 2.

SPRING VALLEY WELL FIELD SPRING VALLEY, NEW YORK

Site was submitted with a score below the cutoff. A QA score was not assigned due to insufficient data.

TANTALO LANDFILL SENECA FALLS, NEW YORK

A QA score was not assigned to this site due to insufficient data.

TRI-CITY BARREL FENTON, NEW YORK

Site was submitted for preliminary review and was not submitted for update 2.

TAU LABORATORY, INC. POUGHKEEPSIE, NEW YORK

Site was submitted for preliminary review with a score below the cutoff and was not resubmitted for update 2.

VAN DER HORST CORP. OF AMERICA OLEAN, NEW YORK

QA in progress. Site name should be changed to North Olean Wells and scored as a contaminated well field. Additional information is needed on alternative drinking water supplies and distance to nearest wells.

VILLAGE OF ARMONK WELLS ARMONK, NEW YORK

Site received a QA score below the cutoff as a result of reducing values for toxicity/persistence and distance to nearest well/population served.

WALLKILL WELLS WALLKILL, NEW YORK

The population of 12,000 served by ground water was shown to be drawing water from the sand and gravel aquifer which is not connected to the aquifer of concern. No QA score was assigned.

WARRENSBURG BOARD AND PAPER CO. WARRENSBURG, NEW YORK

Insufficient documentation was available to calculate a QA score for this site.

WARWICK LANDFILL WARWICK, NEW YORK

Based on the available information, Greenwood Lake likely serves as a ground water divide between the aquifer of concern and the Greenwood Lake water supply well. As a result, the site will score below 28.50. The documentation package is currently being reviewed to determine whether there is sufficient information to calculate a QA score.

WELLSVILLE ANDOVER LANDFILL WELLSVILLE, NEW YORK

Site was submitted for preliminary review and was not resubmitted for update 2.

93rd STREET SCHOOL NIAGARA FALLS, NEW YORK

Insufficient documentation to assign a QA score. During QA it was determined that distance to water intake was further than 3 miles. No revised population was submitted and no further QA review was conducted.